## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

						F	
		ERN DIST		RICT COURT FCALIFORN SION		MAR Z	1LED 22018
United States of Amer	ica,	) C	ase No.	CR 18-0	095 Bh	J TEAN USAV V OIST OIST SO OAN OF OIST	O <sub>NG</sub>
Plainti <u>y</u> v. Chino	onando jones	(		TED ORDER DER THE SPI			CIFORNIA
Defend	ant.	)					*
For the reason stated by Trial Act from Ward continuance outweigh 3161(h)(7)(A). The Co	the best interest of the	ie public ar	nd the def	endant in a sp	eedy trial. See	2 18 U.S.C. §	ne Speedy the
	re to grant a continua 8 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7		be likely	to result in a r	niscarriage of	justice.	
defen or lav	ase is so unusual or s dants, the nature of the v, that it is unreasona within the time limit	he prosecut ble to expe	tion, or th	e existence of te preparation	`novel questic for pretrial p	ons of fact roceedings or	
	re to grant a continuage into account the exe						sel,
given	ce to grant a continual counsel's other scheme. See 18 U.S.C. §	duled case	commitm				
neces	re to grant a continua sary for effective pre 8 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7	paration, ta					ne
3161(	e reasons stated on the b) and waived with the and (d).						
	e reasons stated on the h)(1)(E)(F) for delay						
IT IS SO ORDERED	ı <b>.</b>			Do	<i></i>	-	
DATED: March	22,2018						
	-1			NNA M. RY ited States Ma		3	
//	VInni II						

Assistant United States Attorney

STIPULATED: